1 2 3 4	Malhar S. Pagay, Esq. (CA Bar No. 189289) (adn PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, California 90067 mpagay@pszjlaw.com Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760	nitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
5	and	
6   7   8   9   10   11	Mitchell J. Langberg, Esq., Nev. Bar. No. 10118 Laura F. Bielinski, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 10516 BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, 1 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 mlangberg@bhfs.com lbielinski@bhfs.com Telephone: (702) 382-2101 Facsimile (702) 383-8135  Attorneys for Wynn Las Vegas, LLC d/b/a Wynn	
12		DISTRICT COURT
13		OF NEVADA
14	In re:	Case No.: 2:13-cv-01586-APG-(NJK)
15	WYNN LAS VEGAS LLC d/b/a/ WYNN LAS VEGAS, a Nevada limited liability company,	NV Adversary Case No.: 13-01050-MMN Chapter 11
16 17	Plaintiff,	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO "DECLARATION" OF JOSEPH R.
18	VS.	FRANCIS (APPELLANT'S APP. VOL. VI, TAB H, PGS 1059-1067)
19	GGW DIRECT, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; GGW BRANDS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; GGW	[Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss and Supplemental Request for Judicial Notice
20	EVENTS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; MANTRA FILMS, INC., a	filed concurrently herewith]
21	suspended Oklahoma corporation; BLUE HORSE TRADING, LLC, a California limited	
22	liability company; PEPE BUS, LLC, an inactive Montana limited liability company; SANDS	
23	MEDIA, INC., a revoked Nevada domestic corporation; JOSEPH R. FRANCIS, an	
24	individual; DAVID R. HOUSTON, an individual; and DAVID R. HOUSTON, LTD., a	
25	Nevada professional corporation, doing business as THE LAW OFFICE OF DAVID R.	
26	HOUSTON,	
27	Defendants.	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In Appellant GGW Global Brands, Inc. Opposition to Motion to Dismiss [Docket No. 39]
(the "Opposition"), Appellant relies upon a purported Declaration of Joseph R. Francis for the
proposition that the, "business records of PEPE BUS, LLC show that funds deposited into the
attorney trust account at issue came from PEPE BUS, LLC, and Defendant PEPE BUS, LLC
assigned all of its rights to such monies to Appellant." [Opposition, p. 2, 1. 23-1. 26]

The referenced "Declaration" of Joseph R. Francis appears in Appellant's Appendix at Vol. VI, Tab H, pgs 1059-1067.

Wynn Las Vegas, LLC dba Wynn Las Vegas objects to the admissibility and any reference to the "Declaration" of Joseph R. Francis on the following grounds:

I.

#### The "Declaration" of Joseph A. Francis is Unsworn and Inadmissible.

Review of the "Declaration" of Joseph R. Francis reveals that it is, in fact, not a declaration at all as it is wholly unsworn. Federal Rule of Evidence 603 requires that a witness, before testifying, must give an oath or affirmation to testify truthfully, and such oath or affirmation must be in a form designed to impress that duty on the witness's conscience.

Absent any oath or affirmation, the "Declaration" of Joseph R. Francis is nothing more than hearsay; i.e., a statement not made while testifying and offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted in the statement. Federal Rule of Evidence 801(c).

Hence, the entirety of the "Declaration" of Joseph R. Francis is not testimony and is inadmissible for any purpose.

II.

# Even If The "Declaration" Is To Be Considered, No Foundation Is Presented To Establish (a) The Personal Knowledge Of Mr. Francis Of The Matter Sought To Be Established Or (b) That The Business Records Or Any Other Exception To The Hearsay Rule Exists As To The Report Referenced In The "Declaration."

In his "Declaration," Mr. Francis states that he was a Manager and Member of PEPE BUS, LLC, that he asked the chief financial officer of that entity to "pull from the accounting business records of PEPE BUS, LLC a list of historical transfers of funds of PEPE BUS, LLC to Boulevard

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Management" and that the chief financial officer, who also served in that position for GGW Direct, LLC, "compiled a report of all transfers made to Boulevard Management from PEPE BUS, LLC bank accounts and GGW Direct bank accounts," resulting in a report which is attached as Exhibit A to the "Declaration." Mr. Francis further states that, "the report shows \$1,750,000 of PEPE BUS, LLC funds were transferred to Boulevard Management (which funds were subsequently transferred into a client trust account held by David Houston.)"

First, there is no foundation presented that Mr. Francis had or has personal knowledge of the "accounting business records" of PEPE BUS, LLC or GGW Direct. It is axiomatic that a witness may testify to a matter only if evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that the witness has personal knowledge of the matter. Federal Rule of Evidence 602.

Further, there is no evidence presented in the "Declaration" that the "accounting business records" of PEPE BUS, LLC or GGW Direct, constitute records of a regularly conducted activity such that they would be excepted from the hearsay rule under Federal Rule of Evidence 803(6)(A) through (E). The report itself attached as Exhibit A to the "Declaration" is, itself, not a record of regularly conducted activity excepted from the hearsay rule, and there are no "equivalent circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness" presented to permit Exhibit A to fall under the residual exception to the hearsay rule contained in Federal Rule of Evidence 807.

Finally, Exhibit A to the "Declaration" cannot constitute a summary to prove content under Federal Rule of Evidence 1006 because (a) there is no showing that the underlying writings constitute records of regularly conducted activity, and (b) such records have not been made available for examination at all, let alone at a reasonable time and place as required under that Rule.

Hence, at best, Mr. Francis only has shown that he has personal knowledge of requesting a report containing a list of certain selected transfers from unauthenticated "business accounting records," and that Exhibit A to his "Declaration" is the report he received pursuant to that request. However, even if the summary contained in Exhibit A is based upon records of regularly conducted activity which might, in other circumstances be admissible, the information contained therein proves nothing other than that PEPE BUS, LLC and GGW Direct transferred certain funds to Boulevard Management. The list of selected transactions which make up Exhibit A do not include transfers

from any other persons or entities to Boulevard Management, nor withdrawals from that account, nor
that the funds at issue transferred to the client trust account constitute funds of either PEPE BUS,
LLC or GGW Direct. There are simply no admissible facts supporting Francis' conclusion as to the
source of those fund.

#### III.

#### **Conclusion**

For the reasons set forth hereinabove, the Court should not consider the unsworn "Declaration" of Joseph R. Francis for any purpose, and even if it is considered for any purpose, the contents thereof and Exhibit A thereto should be ruled inadmissible as either not based on personal knowledge, hearsay, or hearsay on hearsay.

Dated: October 28, 2013 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

By: /s/ Malhar S. Pagay
Malhar S. Pagay

And

BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

By: /s/Mitchell J. Langberg
Mitchell J. Langberg

Attorneys for Wynn Las Vegas, LLC, d/b/a Wynn Las Vegas

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

26

#### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this matter. My business address is:

10100 Santa Monica Blvd., Ste. 1300, Los Angeles, CA 90067

A true and correct copy of the foregoing documents entitled **EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO** "DECLARATION" OF JOSEPH R. FRANCIS (APPELLANT'S APP. VOL. VI. TAB H. PGS 1059-1067) will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

### 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):

Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document was served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On October 28, 2013, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

Service information continued on attached page

#### 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On October 28, 2013, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Service information continued on attached page

## 3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE

**TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL** (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (*date*) October 28, 2013, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

### Via Federal Express

Honorable Andrew P. Gordon

United States District Court – District of Nevada 21

333 S. Las Vegas Boulevard

Las Vegas, NV 89101 22

Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

October 28, 2013 /s/ Janice G. Washington Janice G Washington Date Printed Name Signature

27

28

1	1. SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF)
2	Joanna M Myers jmyers@bhfs.com Kenneth E Lyon, III ken@lyonlaw.net
3	Laura Bielinski lbielinski @bhfs.com, eparcells @bhfs.com, MEldridge @bhfs.com
4	Malhar S. Pagay mpagay@pszjlaw.com Matthew Heyn mheyn@ktbslaw.com
5	Mitchell J. Langberg mlangberg@bhfs.com, dcrudup@bhfs.com
6	2. SERVED BY U.S. MAIL
7	U.S. Trustee, Las Vegas
8	300 Las Vegas Boulevard South Suite 4300
9	Las Vegas, NV 89101-5803
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	